(9)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

.....

WRIT PETITION NO. 2038 OF 2002

PETITIONER : G.S.KAINTH, SON OF, LATE SHRI S.S.KAINTH, AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS, OCCUPATION : RETIRED ADDITIONAL EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, resident of Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh. (C.G.)

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :1. CHHATTISGARH STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, through its Secretary, Raipur, Dagania, Raipur (C.G.)

:2. The Chief Engineer, Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board, Bilaspur (C.G.)

:3. Senior Accounts Officer, Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board, Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 2091/02
Presented by Shri Sanjeev Sharma
dated 30.9.02

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE, ORDER OR DIRECTION TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

XI-HC-22

(34)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. NO. 2038/2002 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

29/01/2003

Shri B.D. Guru, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sanjay K. Agarwal, Dy.A.G. for the State.

Heard.

Though this matter is listed for hearing on the question of admission, on the prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner and with the consent of State Counsel, the matter is being disposed of at the admission stage.

The grievance of the writ petitioner is to the inaction on the part of the Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board in not fixing his pensionery benefits by taking into account the service rendered under the work charge establishment. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, this question was the subject matter of challenge in W.P. Nos. 5252/2001 & 1569/94 and a decision was rendered by the M.P. High Court on 29/01/2001 whereby it was held that the pensionery benefits are to be computed by including the period of service rendered in the work charge establishment. According to the petitioner, he submitted a representation on 31/07/2002 (Annexure P/4) to the authorities, but as it has not

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

(35)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ...WP/2038/2002... सन् 200

...विरुद्ध...

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

been considered and decided, he was obliged to approach this Court for relief.

A prayer made at the time of hearing is to direct the respondents to dispose of the representation within a stipulated period.

Considering the limited nature of the prayer, no useful purpose would be served in keeping this writ petition pending as the same can be disposed of at the admission stage. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents/Competent Authority to dispose of the petitioner's representation on its own merits and in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of communication/receipt of a copy of this order. Consequently, I.A.No. 6666/2002 for urgent hearing also stand disposed of.

Sd/-
P.C.Naik
Judge

Raju

[पीछे देखिये